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## Today:

- An arithmetic system over related types
- Type dispatching instead of message passing
- Data-directed programming
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What's different? Today's generic functions apply to multiple arguments that don't share a common interface
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There are many different techniques for doing this!
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    def __init__(self, numer, denom):
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                    g divisor
    def __repr__(self):
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```
def type_tag(x):
    return type_tag.tags[type(x)]
type_tag.tags = ComplexRI: 'com'
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                        Rational: rat'}
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Declares that ComplexRI and ComplexMA should be treated uniformly

```
def add(z1, z2):
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add.implementations \(=\{ \}\)
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lambda r, z: add_complex_and_rational(z, r)
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## Coercion

Idea: Some types can be converted into other types
Takes advantage of structure in the type system

```
>>> def rational_to_complex(x):
    return Comp\overline{lexRI(x.numer/x.denom, 0)}
>>> coercions = {('rat', 'com'): rational_to_complex}
```

Question: Can any numeric type be coerced into any other?

Question: Have we been repeating ourselves with data-directed programming?
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